

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/754,483 | 01/04/2001 | Shigefumi Odaohhara | JP919990215US1- | 3573 |
| 25299 | 7590 08/31/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| IBM CORPORATION | | | CHANG, ERIC | |
| PO BOX 121 DEPT 9CCA | 195 ., BLDG 002 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | TRIANGLE PARK, N | C 27709 | 2116 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/31/200- | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | ~ |
|-----|------------|
| 150 |)#:_ |
| | A STATE OF |

Applicant(s) Application No. ODAOHHARA, SHIGEFUMI 09/754,483 **Advisory Action Art Unit Examiner** 2116 Eric Chang -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires _ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no \boxtimes event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

| , | 706.07(f). |
|---------------------------------|--|
| have bee 37 CFR (b) above | ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ensighed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any exactent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| 1. | A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2.🛛 | The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: |
| (a) | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| | they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); |
| (c) | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (d) | they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: |
| 3. | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| 4. | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| | 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| 7.🖂 | For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: |
| • | Claim(s) allowed: |
| | Claim(s) objected to: |
| | Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: |
| 8. | The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9. | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |
| 10. | Other: See Continuation Sheet |
| | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600 TO COMME |
| | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that elements 11 and 12 in the teachings of Ferry merely control the transistors MP and MN, and do not convert an input voltage to an output voltage, substantially as claimed. But Ferry teaches that power supply circuit 11 controls and enables other portions of the invention to convert an input voltage to an output voltage according to a switched-power mode [FIGS 1 and 3, and col. 5, lines 15-24]. Likewise, Ferry teaches that power supply circuit 12 controls and enables other portions of the invention to convert an input voltage to an output voltage according to a linear regulator mode [FIGS 2 and 3, and col. 5, lines 25-35]. Thus, because Ferry teaches power supply circuits that control and enable the voltage conversion, Ferry teaches power supply circuits capable of said voltage conversion, substantially as claimed.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant is reminded of the provisions of MPEP § 714.12 which states in pertinent part the following:

Once a final rejection that is not premature has been entered in an application, applicant or patent owner no longer has any right to unrestricted further prosecution.

The prosecution of an application before the examiner should ordinarily be concluded with the final action.

Applicant is additionally reminded of MPEP § 714.13 which states in pertinent part the following:

ENTRY NOT A MATTER OF RIGHT

It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, add new claims after a final rejection (see 37 CFR 1.116) or reinstate previously canceled claims.

Except where an amendment merely cancels claims, adopts examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal, or in some other way requires only a cursory review by the examiner, compliance with the requirement of a showing under 37 CFR 1.116(c) is expected in all amendments after final rejection.

Further examination of the application may be obtained by filing a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d), if appropriate. See MPEP § 201.06(d). CPA practice does not apply to utility or plant applications if the prior application has a filing date on or after May 29, 2000. See MPEP §706.07(h), paragraphs I and IV.